

REMARKS

Claim 32 is pending. Claims 22, 26, 31, and 35 have been canceled without prejudice to prosecuting these claims in a continuing application.

Telephonic Interviews

Applicants thank Examiner Huang for the telephonic interviews on December 16, 2004 and January 25, 2005 with the undersigned. During the interview on December 16, 2004, method claims 22, 26, 31, and 35 were discussed. The undersigned understood that the Examiner indicated that an in vivo test showing the effectiveness of at least one compound from the genus inducing anti-tumor activity would be helpful. During the interview on January 25, 2005, the method claims 22, 26, 31, and 35 were discussed in view of in vivo testing. Agreement was not reached on the disposition of claims 22, 26, 31, and 35.

§ 112 Rejections

Claims 22, 26, 31, and 35 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, allegedly for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Although Applicants traverse this rejection, in order to expedite prosecution, claims 22, 26, 31, and 35 have been cancelled without prejudice to prosecuting these claims in a continuing application. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 32 stands allowed.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance.
Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

28 FEBRUARY 2005
Date

By: Dean A. Ersfeld
Dean A. Ersfeld, Reg. No.: 46,689
Telephone No.: (651) 733-7830

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833